

Examples using the Ken French data

Bernt Arne Ødegaard

24 November 2021

1 Introduction

On his homepage, Ken French provides a data library very useful for understanding asset returns. In these notes we concentrate on his data for US asset returns.

The following contains examples where this data is used. The notes are primarily in the form of exercises, where an exercise involving the Ken French data is given, and then a solution is given, with code in R. The solution is focused on showing the R code, and the generated numbers, they are not complete lectures.

2 Reading Data

The data, as downloaded from Ken French data library page, needs to be split into separate files, since the original data mixes value weighted, equally weighted, monthly, annual data into one file.

The easiest is to split it into separate files for each dataset one want.

For example, the following R code reads the pricing factors.

```
library(zoo)
Sys.setlocale(category = "LC_ALL", locale = "C")

indir <- "/home/bernt/data/2021/french_us_data/"
filename <- paste0(indir,"F-F_Research_Data_Factors.csv")

FF <- read.table(filename,
                 header=TRUE,
                 skip=3,
                 na.strings=c("-99.99","-999"),
                 sep=",")
dates <- as.yearmon(as.character(FF[,1]),format="%Y%m")
FF <- zoo(coredata(FF), order.by=dates)
RMRF <- FF$Mkt.RF/100
SMB <- FF$SMB/100
HML <- FF$HML/100
RF <- FF$RF/100
RM <- RF + RMRF
names(RMRF) <- "RMRF"
names(RM) <- "RM"
names(RF) <- "RF"
names(HML) <- "HML"
names(SMB) <- "SMB"
```

The next reads industry portfolios

```

Sys.setlocale(category = "LC_ALL", locale = "C")
library(zoo)
indir <- "/home/bernt/data/2021/french_us_data/"

filename <- paste0(indir,"10_Industry_Portfolios_ew.csv")
FF10IndusEW <- read.table(filename,
  header=TRUE,skip=11,
  na.strings=c(" -99.99","-99.99","-999"),
  sep=",")
dates <- as.yearmon(as.character(FF10IndusEW[,1]),format="%Y%m")
FF10IndusEW <- zoo(coredata(FF10IndusEW[,2:11]), order.by=dates)
FF10IndusEW <- FF10IndusEW / 100

filename <- paste0(indir,"10_Industry_Portfolios_vw.csv")
FF10IndusVW <- read.table(filename,
  header=TRUE,skip=11,
  na.strings=c(" -99.99","-99.99","-999"),
  sep=",")
dates <- as.yearmon(as.character(FF10IndusVW[,1]),format="%Y%m")
FF10IndusVW <- zoo(coredata(FF10IndusVW[,2:11]), order.by=dates)
FF10IndusVW <- FF10IndusVW / 100

```

```

Sys.setlocale(category = "LC_ALL", locale = "C")
library(zoo)
indir <- "/home/bernt/data/2021/french_us_data/"

filename <- paste0(indir,"Portfolios_Formed_on_ME_ew.csv")
data <- read.table(filename,
  header=TRUE,
  skip=12,
  na.strings=c("-99.99","-999"),
  sep=",")
head(data)
dates <- as.yearmon(as.character(data[,1]),format="%Y%m")
FF3sizeEW <- zoo(coredata(data[,3:5]), order.by=dates)
FF3sizeEW <- FF3sizeEW / 100
FF5sizeEW <- zoo(coredata(data[,6:10]), order.by=dates)
FF5sizeEW <- FF5sizeEW / 100
FF10sizeEW <- zoo(coredata(data[,11:20]), order.by=dates)
FF10sizeEW <- FF10sizeEW / 100
head(FF3sizeEW)
head(FF5sizeEW)
head(FF10sizeEW)

filename <- paste0(indir,"Portfolios_Formed_on_ME_vw.csv")
data <- read.table(filename,
  header=TRUE,
  skip=12,
  na.strings=c(" -99.99","-99.99","-999"),
  sep=",")
dates <- as.yearmon(as.character(data[,1]),format="%Y%m")
FF3sizeVW <- zoo(coredata(data[,3:5]), order.by=dates)
FF3sizeVW <- FF3sizeVW / 100

FF5sizeVW <- zoo(coredata(data[,6:10]), order.by=dates)
FF5sizeVW <- FF5sizeVW / 100

FF10sizeVW <- zoo(coredata(data[,11:20]), order.by=dates)
FF10sizeVW <- FF10sizeVW / 100

```


3 Descriptive

Exercise 1.

Collect the returns data for 10 monthly size based (ew) portfolios from Ken French homepage.

- Describe the return series for the whole period and for the 1970–2013 subperiod.

Solution to Exercise 1.

Reading the data

```
# make sure that the first date do not change, this hardcodes the first date
library(zoo)
FFSizeEW <- read.table("../data/Portfolios_Formed_on_ME_monthly_ew.txt",
                      header=TRUE, skip=11)
FFSize10EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,10:19], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
```

A quick and dirty summary is provided by

```
> summary(FFSize10EW)
      Index      Lo10      Dec2      Dec3
Min.   :1926  Min.   :-30.410  Min.   :-33.280  Min.   :-33.670
1st Qu.:1948  1st Qu.: -2.743  1st Qu.: -2.792  1st Qu.: -2.473
Median :1970  Median :  1.125  Median :  1.290  Median :  1.430
Mean   :1970  Mean   :  1.872  Mean   :  1.377  Mean   :  1.321
3rd Qu.:1992  3rd Qu.:  5.240  3rd Qu.:  5.015  3rd Qu.:  4.745
Max.   :2014  Max.   :136.560  Max.   :106.960  Max.   : 85.850

      Dec4      Dec5      Dec6      Dec7
Min.   :-31.420  Min.   :-30.660  Min.   :-32.64  Min.   :-28.620
1st Qu.: -2.395  1st Qu.: -2.192  1st Qu.: -2.21  1st Qu.: -2.020
Median :  1.495  Median :  1.600  Median :  1.52  Median :  1.445
Mean   :  1.247  Mean   :  1.196  Mean   :  1.20  Mean   :  1.135
3rd Qu.:  4.883  3rd Qu.:  4.768  3rd Qu.:  4.64  3rd Qu.:  4.480
Max.   : 70.950  Max.   : 63.720  Max.   : 57.07  Max.   : 55.210

      Dec8      Dec9      Hi10
Min.   :-31.610  Min.   :-33.010  Min.   :-27.2100
1st Qu.: -2.132  1st Qu.: -2.033  1st Qu.: -1.7225
Median :  1.510  Median :  1.410  Median :  1.1500
Mean   :  1.065  Mean   :  1.029  Mean   :  0.8972
3rd Qu.:  4.290  3rd Qu.:  4.025  3rd Qu.:  3.9250
Max.   : 48.160  Max.   : 48.130  Max.   : 36.7000
```

A nicely formatted summary is done by

```
stargazer(FFSize10EW, summary=TRUE, float=FALSE)
```

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Lo10	1,052	1.872	10.935	-30.410	136.560
Dec2	1,052	1.377	9.271	-33.280	106.960
Dec3	1,052	1.321	8.368	-33.670	85.850
Dec4	1,052	1.247	7.730	-31.420	70.950
Dec5	1,052	1.196	7.375	-30.660	63.720
Dec6	1,052	1.200	7.043	-32.640	57.070
Dec7	1,052	1.135	6.737	-28.620	55.210
Dec8	1,052	1.065	6.342	-31.610	48.160
Dec9	1,052	1.029	6.062	-33.010	48.130
Hi10	1,052	0.897	5.464	-27.210	36.700

The subperiod data is found by

```
> ffsb <- window(FFSize10EW,start = c(1970,1),end=c(2014,1))
```

The descriptive table:

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Lo10	529	1.317	6.625	-27.680	32.940
Dec2	529	1.049	6.650	-30.300	32.390
Dec3	529	1.135	6.420	-28.860	29.880
Dec4	529	1.092	6.177	-29.420	26.410
Dec5	529	1.128	6.076	-28.120	27.540
Dec6	529	1.124	5.706	-25.930	23.020
Dec7	529	1.128	5.599	-25.900	24.810
Dec8	529	1.056	5.438	-23.970	21.170
Dec9	529	1.026	5.077	-22.450	22.510
Hi10	529	0.924	4.785	-20.330	20.970

4 Seasonality

Exercise 2.

Ken French provides return on size sorted portfolios. Using monthly returns, and the 10 size sorted portfolio for the period 1926-2013, test for equality of mean returns across months.

What is the colloquial name for the effect you observe?

Is it stable across decades?

Solution to Exercise 2.

Should find that january has higher returns, which is the january effect.

```
library(zoo)
library(stargazer)
Sys.setlocale(category = "LC_ALL", locale = "C")
outdir <- ". ././results/2016_04_seasonality/"

source("./2016_04_read_data/read_size_portfolios.R")
source("./2016_04_read_data/read_pricing_factors.R")

data <- merge(FFSize10EW,RM,all=FALSE)
data <- data*100.0
head(data)
filename <- paste0(outdir,"descriptive_10_size_ew_1926_2015.tex")
stargazer(data,summary=TRUE,float=FALSE,out=filename)
head(index(data))
mnames <- c("January","February","March", "April","May","June",
            "July","August","September","October","November","December" )
mnths<-months(as.POSIXct(yearmon(index(data))))
head(mnths)
jan <- (mnths==mnames[1])
feb <- (mnths==mnames[2])
mar <- (mnths==mnames[3])
apr <- (mnths==mnames[4])
may <- (mnths==mnames[5])
jun <- (mnths==mnames[6])
jul <- (mnths==mnames[7])
aug <- (mnths==mnames[8])
sep <- (mnths==mnames[9])
oct <- (mnths==mnames[10])
nov <- (mnths==mnames[11])
dec <- (mnths==mnames[12])
mmeans <- colMeans(data[jan])
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[feb]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[mar]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[apr]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[may]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[jun]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[jul]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[aug]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[sep]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[oct]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[nov]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data[dec]))
mmeans <- rbind(mmeans,colMeans(data))
rownames(mmeans)<-c(mnames,"All")
filename <- paste0(outdir,"descriptive_10_size_means_per_month_1926_2015.tex")
stargazer(mmeans, float=FALSE, out=filename )

regr <- lm(data$RM~as.numeric(jan))
summary(regr)
```

```
summary(regr)$pvalues
regr$pvalues
regr <- lm(data~as.numeric(jan))
summary(regr)
```

Table 1 Describing size sorted portfolios. US 1926–2015.

Descriptive statistics for monthly returns (in percent) of 10 size sorted portfolios.

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Lo.10	1,074	1.814	10.764	-29.140	134.290
Dec.2	1,074	1.355	9.210	-33.020	106.160
Dec.3	1,074	1.286	8.274	-31.170	83.080
Dec.4	1,074	1.224	7.796	-31.770	80.210
Dec.5	1,074	1.162	7.283	-31.440	58.000
Dec.6	1,074	1.183	7.001	-32.830	57.180
Dec.7	1,074	1.096	6.661	-29.110	51.900
Dec.8	1,074	1.061	6.327	-30.730	49.460
Dec.9	1,074	1.010	6.019	-32.310	47.530
Hi.10	1,074	0.890	5.449	-28.430	37.790
RM	1,074	0.928	5.379	-29.100	38.950

Table 2 Return averages split size and month. US 1926–2013.

Return averages, grouped by firm size and month of the year.

	Lo.10	Dec.2	Dec.3	Dec.4	Dec.5	Dec.6	Dec.7	Dec.8	Dec.9	Hi.10	RM
January	10.511	6.124	4.978	4.140	3.534	3.206	2.678	2.200	2.047	1.274	1.472
February	2.244	1.456	1.268	1.005	1.109	1.037	1.031	0.920	0.866	0.339	0.663
March	0.962	0.922	1.023	0.900	0.813	0.911	0.855	0.954	0.790	0.752	0.769
April	1.664	1.317	1.366	1.312	1.513	1.536	1.406	1.263	1.357	1.424	1.331
May	1.550	1.210	0.906	1.054	0.729	0.702	0.699	0.514	0.527	0.632	0.488
June	0.917	0.854	0.646	0.740	0.742	0.654	0.710	0.674	0.790	0.713	0.846
July	2.006	1.551	1.515	1.475	1.290	1.422	1.450	1.434	1.479	1.502	1.504
August	1.563	1.340	1.449	1.439	1.544	1.552	1.389	1.595	1.273	1.134	1.152
September	0.298	-0.607	-0.595	-0.450	-0.643	-0.676	-0.748	-0.866	-0.778	-0.939	-0.734
October	-0.793	-0.366	-0.241	-0.238	-0.187	0.027	0.042	0.324	0.401	0.691	0.420
November	0.936	1.620	1.620	1.615	1.802	1.785	1.715	1.877	1.513	1.497	1.492
December	-0.016	0.885	1.518	1.720	1.711	2.047	1.935	1.849	1.862	1.656	1.737
All	1.814	1.355	1.286	1.224	1.162	1.183	1.096	1.061	1.010	0.890	0.928

5 Crosssectional asset pricing

Concerns testing of asset pricing models on a cross section of asset returns. Typically performed on portfolios of assets.

5.1 CAPM

Exercise 3.

Suppose the CAPM holds. What restriction does this impose on the following relationship:

$$E[r_i] - r_f = \alpha_i + \beta_i(E[r_m] - r_f)$$

Test this restriction using Ken French's 5 size sorted portfolios. Use data for 1980-2012. Do the tests for each portfolio separately.

Solution to Exercise 3.

Reading the data

```
# make sure that the first date do not change, this hardcodes the first date
library(zoo)
FFSizeEW <- read.table("../data/Portfolios_Formed_on_ME_monthly_EW.txt",
                      header=TRUE, skip=11)
FFSize3EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,2:4], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
FFSize5EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,5:9], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
FFSize10EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,10:19], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
```

Doing the analysis, taking the subperiod 1980-2012.

```
> FFSize5EW <- window(FFSize5EW, start=c(1980,1), end=c(2012,12))
> data <- merge.zoo(FFSize5EW, RMRF, RF, all=FALSE)
> summary(data)
```

Index	Lo20	Qnt2	Qnt3
Min. :1980	Min. :-28.120	Min. :-29.11	Min. :-27.20
1st Qu.:1988	1st Qu.: -2.340	1st Qu.: -2.48	1st Qu.: -2.29
Median :1996	Median : 1.260	Median : 1.89	Median : 1.85
Mean :1996	Mean : 1.215	Mean : 1.11	Mean : 1.15
3rd Qu.:2004	3rd Qu.: 4.580	3rd Qu.: 4.61	3rd Qu.: 4.76
Max. :2013	Max. : 31.780	Max. : 24.60	Max. : 23.91

Qnt4	Hi20	RMRF	RF
Min. :-25.00	Min. :-21.320	Min. :-23.240	Min. :0.0000
1st Qu.: -2.04	1st Qu.: -1.860	1st Qu.: -2.100	1st Qu.:0.2100
Median : 1.60	Median : 1.460	Median : 1.090	Median :0.4100
Mean : 1.14	Mean : 1.057	Mean : 0.586	Mean :0.4101
3rd Qu.: 4.43	3rd Qu.: 3.880	3rd Qu.: 3.520	3rd Qu.:0.5600
Max. : 20.67	Max. : 17.640	Max. : 12.460	Max. :1.3500

Looking first at the analysis for the low quintile:

```
> eri <- data$Lo20-data$RF
> erm <- data$RMRF
> reg <- lm(as.matrix(eri)~as.matrix(erm))
> summary(reg)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = as.matrix(eri) ~ as.matrix(erm))
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-10.9582	-2.3328	-0.3355	1.9856	27.8145

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.19914	0.20927	0.952	0.342
as.matrix(erm)	1.03408	0.04514	22.907	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 4.115 on 391 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.573, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5719

F-statistic: 524.7 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Summarizing the analysis for the five size portfolios:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.1991	0.2093	0.95	0.3419
as.matrix(erm)	1.0341	0.0451	22.91	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.0009	0.1433	0.01	0.9948
as.matrix(erm)	1.1926	0.0309	38.57	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.0539	0.1149	0.47	0.6391
as.matrix(erm)	1.1700	0.0248	47.19	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.0703	0.0838	0.84	0.4020
as.matrix(erm)	1.1256	0.0181	62.23	0.0000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.0426	0.0585	0.73	0.4671
as.matrix(erm)	1.0317	0.0126	81.70	0.0000

Using this time period, none of the individual alphas are significant.

5.2 CAPM test using GMM

Exercise 4.

Consider the CAPM relationship

$$E[r_i] - r_f = \alpha_i + \beta_i(E[r_m] - r_f)$$

If the CAPM holds, $\alpha_i = 0$. One way of testing the CAPM is to test whether this restriction holds equation by equation (the Black Jensen Scholes analysis). However, a more efficient test is to test the joint hypothesis that $\alpha_i = 0$ for all stocks/portfolios in a cross section. One way this can be tested is to use the GMM framework of MacKinlay. Use GMM to estimate the joint system, and test this restriction.

The assets to be used are Ken French's 5 size sorted portfolios. Use data for 1980-2012.

Solution to Exercise 4.

Reading the data

```
# make sure that the first date do not change, this hardcodes the first date
library(zoo)
FFSizeEW <- read.table("../data/Portfolios_Formed_on_ME_monthly_EW.txt",
                      header=TRUE, skip=11)
FFSize3EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,2:4], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
FFSize5EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,5:9], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
FFSize10EW <- zooreg(FFSizeEW[,10:19], start=c(1926,7), frequency=12)
```

Doing the analysis

```
eRFFSize5EW <- FFSize5EW - RF
eRi <- window(eRFFSize5EW, start=c(1980,1), end=c(2012,12))
data <- merge(eRi, RMRF, all=FALSE)
eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
eRm <- as.matrix(data[,6])
res <- gmm(eRi ~ eRm, x=eRm)
summary(res)
R <- cbind(diag(5), matrix(0,5,5))
c <- rep(0,5)
linearHypothesis(res, R, c, test="F")
```

Results.

Take subperiod

```
> eRi <- window(eRFFSize5EW, start=c(1980,1), end=c(2012,12))
> data <- merge(eRi, RMRF, all=FALSE)
> eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
> eRm <- as.matrix(data[,6])
```

First do the estimation as equation by equation OLS:

```
> summary(lm(eRi ~ eRm))
Response Lo20 :
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Lo20 ~ eRm)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-10.9582	-2.3328	-0.3355	1.9856	27.8145

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.19914	0.20927	0.952	0.342
eRm	1.03408	0.04514	22.907	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 4.115 on 391 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.573, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5719
F-statistic: 524.7 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Response Qnt2 :

Call:

lm(formula = Qnt2 ~ eRm)

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-8.3814	-1.5499	-0.1874	1.5103	18.8482

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.0009405	0.1433456	0.007	0.995
eRm	1.1925765	0.0309223	38.567	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 2.819 on 391 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7918, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7913
F-statistic: 1487 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Response Qnt3 :

Call:

lm(formula = Qnt3 ~ eRm)

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.5661	-1.2221	-0.1794	1.1986	15.7156

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.05394	0.11493	0.469	0.639
eRm	1.17001	0.02479	47.194	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 2.26 on 391 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8507, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8503
F-statistic: 2227 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Response Qnt4 :

Call:

```
lm(formula = Qnt4 ~ eRm)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.2501	-0.9573	-0.0575	0.7323	9.1194

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.07034	0.08385	0.839	0.402
eRm	1.12564	0.01809	62.231	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.649 on 391 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.9083, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9081

F-statistic: 3873 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Response Hi20 :

Call:

```
lm(formula = Hi20 ~ eRm)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.1496	-0.4402	-0.0131	0.3847	7.0747

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.04261	0.05854	0.728	0.467
eRm	1.03167	0.01263	81.697	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.151 on 391 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.9447, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9445

F-statistic: 6674 on 1 and 391 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Then run a GMM estimation

```
> res <- gmm(eRi~eRm,x=eRm)
```

```
> summary(res)
```

Call:

```
gmm(g = eRi ~ eRm, x = eRm)
```

Method: twoStep

Kernel: Quadratic Spectral

Coefficients:

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
----------	------------	---------	----------

Lo20_(Intercept)	1.9914e-01	2.3014e-01	8.6529e-01	3.8688e-01
Qnt2_(Intercept)	9.4053e-04	1.3994e-01	6.7209e-03	9.9464e-01
Qnt3_(Intercept)	5.3937e-02	1.1090e-01	4.8636e-01	6.2671e-01
Qnt4_(Intercept)	7.0342e-02	8.9496e-02	7.8598e-01	4.3188e-01
Hi20_(Intercept)	4.2611e-02	5.8895e-02	7.2351e-01	4.6937e-01
Lo20_eRm	1.0341e+00	4.8468e-02	2.1335e+01	5.3366e-101
Qnt2_eRm	1.1926e+00	3.5770e-02	3.3341e+01	1.0000e-243
Qnt3_eRm	1.1700e+00	3.1389e-02	3.7274e+01	4.3185e-304
Qnt4_eRm	1.1256e+00	2.4935e-02	4.5142e+01	0.0000e+00
Hi20_eRm	1.0317e+00	2.1909e-02	4.7090e+01	0.0000e+00

J-Test: degrees of freedom is 0

	J-test	P-value
Test E(g)=0:	1.04856204379519e-24	*****

before finally testing the hypothesis that the intercepts are jointly zero

```
> R <- cbind(diag(5),matrix(0,5,5))
> c <- rep(0,5)
> linearHypothesis(res,R,c,test="F")
Linear hypothesis test
```

Hypothesis:

```
Lo20_((Intercept) = 0
Qnt2_((Intercept) = 0
Qnt3_((Intercept) = 0
Qnt4_((Intercept) = 0
Hi20_((Intercept) = 0
```

Model 1: restricted model

Model 2: eRi ~ eRm

	Df	Chisq	Pr(>Chisq)
1			
2	5	3.9298	0.5596

We are not able to reject the null, the CAPM is apparently acceptable for this time period and cross section.

5.3 Estimating m directly

Exercise 5.

The Stochastic Discount factor approach to asset pricing results in the following expression for pricing any excess return:

$$E[m_t er_{it}] = 0$$

Consider an empirical implementation of this where we write the pricing variable m as a function of a set of prespecified factors f :

$$m_t = 1 + bf_t$$

Consider the case of the one factor model $f = 1 + ber_m$, where the only explanatory factor is the return on a broad based market index.

Implement this approach on the set of 5 industry portfolios provided by Ken French. Use data 1980–2012.

Solution to Exercise 5.

Code for doing the analysis

```
source("read_industries.R")
source("read_pricing_factors.R")

# estimate m=1+b*f in crossection
eR <- FFIndusEW-RF
eRi <- window(eR,first=c(1980,1),last=c(2012,12))
# take intersection to align data
data <- merge(eRi,RMRF,all=FALSE)
eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
eRm <- as.vector(data[,6])
X <- cbind(eRi,eRm)
g <- function (parms,X) {
  b <- parms[1];
  f <- as.vector(X[,6])
  m <- 1 + b * f
  e <- m * X[,1:5]
  return (e);
}
library(gmm)
t0 <- c(0.1);
res <- gmm(g,X,t0,method="Brent",lower=-10,upper=10)
summary(res)
```

Results

```
> # estimate m=1+b*f in crossection
> eR <- FFIndusEW-RF
> eRi <- window(eR,first=c(1980,1),last=c(2012,12))
> # take intersection to align data
> data <- merge(eRi,RMRF,all=FALSE)
> eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
> eRm <- as.vector(data[,6])
> X <- cbind(eRi,eRm)
> g <- function (parms,X) {
+   b <- parms[1];
+   f <- as.vector(X[,6])
```

```

+   m <- 1 + b * f
+   e <- m * X[,1:5]
+   return (e);
+ }
> library(gmm)
Loading required package: sandwich
> t0 <- c(0.1);
> res <- gmm(g,X,t0,method="Brent",lower=-10,upper=10)
> summary(res)

Call:
gmm(g = g, x = X, t0 = t0, method = "Brent", lower = -10, upper = 10)

Method: twoStep

Kernel: Quadratic Spectral(with bw = 3.9418 )

Coefficients:
      Estimate      Std. Error  t value    Pr(>|t|)
Theta[1] -2.6981e-02   6.8114e-03 -3.9611e+00 7.4595e-05

J-Test: degrees of freedom is 4
              J-test  P-value
Test E(g)=0:  5.02662  0.28458

Initial values of the coefficients
      Theta[1]
-0.02777592

#####
Information related to the numerical optimization
Convergence code = 0
Function eval. = NA
Gradian eval. = NA
>
> proc.time()
      user  system elapsed
 1.344   0.088   1.433

```

Exercise 6.

The Stochastic Discount factor approach to asset pricing results in the following expression for pricing any excess return:

$$E[m_t er_{it}] = 0$$

Consider an empirical implementation of this where we write the pricing variable m as a function of a set of prespecified factors f :

$$m_t = 1 + bf_t$$

Consider the case of the one factor model $f = 1 + ber_m$, where the only explanatory factor is the return on a broad based market index.

Implement this approach on the set of 5 size sorted portfolios provided by Ken French. Use data 1926–2012.

Is the market a relevant pricing factor?

Solution to Exercise 6.

Reading data

```
source("read_size_portfolios.R")
source("read_pricing_factors.R")
eRi <- FFSIZE5EW - RF
data <- merge(eRi, RMRF, all=FALSE)
summary(data)
eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
eRm <- as.vector(data[,6])
```

The specification of the GMM estimation:

```
X <- cbind(eRi, eRm)
g1 <- function (parms, X) {
  b <- parms[1];
  f <- as.vector(X[,6])
  m <- 1 + b * f
  e <- m * X[,1:5]
  return (e);
}
```

Running the GMM analysis

```
t0 <- c(0.1);
res <- gmm(g1, X, t0, method="Brent", lower=-10, upper=10)
summary(res)
```

Results

```
> summary(data)
      Index      Lo20      Qnt2      Qnt3
Min.   :1926  Min.   :-32.010  Min.   :-31.9600  Min.   :-31.3100
1st Qu.:1948  1st Qu.: -3.110    1st Qu.: -2.8650  1st Qu.: -2.5550
Median :1970  Median :  0.960    Median :  1.1700  Median :  1.2000
Mean   :1970  Mean   :  1.373    Mean   :  0.9704  Mean   :  0.8869
3rd Qu.:1991  3rd Qu.:  4.695    3rd Qu.:  4.5350  3rd Qu.:  4.4050
Max.   :2013  Max.   :110.670    Max.   :  81.1900  Max.   :  56.8400
      Qnt4      Hi20      RMRF
Min.   :-29.760  Min.   :-30.100  Min.   :-28.980
1st Qu.: -2.470  1st Qu.: -2.195  1st Qu.: -2.105
Median :  1.160  Median :  0.930  Median :  1.010
Mean   :  0.787  Mean   :  0.655  Mean   :  0.628
3rd Qu.:  4.125  3rd Qu.:  3.640  3rd Qu.:  3.655
Max.   :  50.010  Max.   :  41.790  Max.   :  37.770
```


GMM results

Call:

```
gmm(g = g1, x = X, t0 = t0, method = "Brent", lower = -10, upper = 10)
```

Method: twoStep

Kernel: Quadratic Spectral(with bw = 3.56894)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
Theta[1]	-0.0199775	0.0060763	-3.2877763	0.0010098

J-Test: degrees of freedom is 4

	J-test	P-value
Test E(g)=0:	14.606060	0.005592

Initial values of the coefficients

```
Theta[1]  
-0.0253752
```

#####

Information related to the numerical optimization

Convergence code = 0

Function eval. = NA

Gradian eval. = NA

	Model 1
Theta[1]	-0.02*** (0.01)
Criterion function	1411.21
Num. obs.	1035

*** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Exercise 7.

The Stochastic Discount factor approach to asset pricing results in the following expression for pricing any excess return:

$$E[m_t er_{it}] = 0$$

Consider an empirical implementation of this where we write the pricing variable m as a function of a set of prespecified factors f :

$$m_t = 1 + bf_t$$

Consider the case of the three factor model $f = 1 + b_1 er_m + b_2 SMB + b_3 HML$, where the explanatory factors are the return on a broad based market index, and the two Fama French factors SMB and HML.

Implement this approach on the set of 5 size sorted portfolios provided by Ken French. Use data 1926–2012.

Which are the relevant pricing factors?

Solution to Exercise 7.

Organizing the data

```
library(gmm)
source("read_size_portfolios.R")
source("read_pricing_factors.R")

eRi <- FFSize5EW - RF
data <- merge(eRi, RMRF, SMB, HML, all=FALSE)
summary(data)
eRi <- as.matrix(data[,1:5])
eRm <- as.vector(data$RMRF)
smb <- as.vector(data$SMB)
hml <- as.vector(data$HML)
```

The GMM specification

```
X <- cbind(eRi, eRm, smb, hml)
g3 <- function (parms, X) {
  b1 <- parms[1];
  b2 <- parms[2];
  b3 <- parms[3];
  erm <- as.vector(X[,6])
  smb <- as.vector(X[,7])
  hml <- as.vector(X[,8])
  m <- 1 + b1 * erm + b2*smb + b3*hml
  e <- m * X[,1:5]
  return (e);
}
```

Data, overview

```
> summary(data)
```

Index	Lo20	Qnt2	Qnt3
Min. :1926	Min. :-32.010	Min. :-31.9600	Min. :-31.3100
1st Qu.:1948	1st Qu.: -3.110	1st Qu.: -2.8650	1st Qu.: -2.5550
Median :1970	Median : 0.960	Median : 1.1700	Median : 1.2000
Mean :1970	Mean : 1.373	Mean : 0.9704	Mean : 0.8869
3rd Qu.:1991	3rd Qu.: 4.695	3rd Qu.: 4.5350	3rd Qu.: 4.4050
Max. :2013	Max. :110.670	Max. : 81.1900	Max. : 56.8400

Qnt4	Hi20	RMRF	SMB
Min. : -29.760	Min. : -30.100	Min. : -28.980	Min. : -16.3900
1st Qu.: -2.470	1st Qu.: -2.195	1st Qu.: -2.105	1st Qu.: -1.5200
Median : 1.160	Median : 0.930	Median : 1.010	Median : 0.0500
Mean : 0.787	Mean : 0.655	Mean : 0.628	Mean : 0.2352
3rd Qu.: 4.125	3rd Qu.: 3.640	3rd Qu.: 3.655	3rd Qu.: 1.7750
Max. : 50.010	Max. : 41.790	Max. : 37.770	Max. : 39.0400

HML

Min. : -13.450
1st Qu.: -1.295
Median : 0.220
Mean : 0.382
3rd Qu.: 1.745
Max. : 35.480

Running the GMM analysis

```
> t0 <- c(1.0,0,0);
> res <- gmm(g3,X,t0)
> summary(res)
```

Call:
gmm(g = g3, x = X, t0 = t0)

Method: twoStep

Kernel: Quadratic Spectral(with bw = 4.83032)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
Theta[1]	-0.0133913	0.0086600	-1.5463407	0.1220223
Theta[2]	0.0131771	0.0145060	0.9083904	0.3636720
Theta[3]	-0.0778065	0.0264944	-2.9367123	0.0033171

J-Test: degrees of freedom is 2

	J-test	P-value
Test E(g)=0:	3.51806	0.17221

Initial values of the coefficients

Theta[1]	Theta[2]	Theta[3]
-0.009775731	0.011717385	-0.076275222

#####

Information related to the numerical optimization

Convergence code = 0

Function eval. = 100

Gradian eval. = NA

	Model 1
Theta[1]	-0.01 (0.01)
Theta[2]	0.01 (0.01)
Theta[3]	-0.08*** (0.03)
Criterion function	339.91
Num. obs.	1035

*** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

5.4 Estimating factors in system

Exercise 8.

Consider the moment condition

$$E[er - (a + \beta f)] = 0$$

$$E[f'(r - (a + \beta f))] = 0$$

$$E[er - \beta\lambda] = 0$$

where f is the excess return on the market. Estimate this using the five industry portfolios on the US.

Solution to Exercise 8.