Designated Market Makers – Binary Choice Regressions

November 24, 2021

1 Binary Choice Estimation

Look at using R to calculate some results in Skjeltorp and Ødegaard (2015).

In the paper we investigate decisions by firms to hire a Designated Market Maker, a financial market participant that guarantees a minimum liquidity in the firm's stock, against a fee. This decision is a binary choice, either the firm hires a liquidity provider, or not. We investigate what determines this decision.

Going into the reasoning for the possible determinants is not necessary for our purposes, let us just list the possible determinants.

- Constant
- Liquidity (Relative Spread)
- Q
- Sales Growth
- Dummy for whether the firm has a repurchase program
- Dummy for whether the firm has been listed for less than 2 years.

We estimate a probit model where these are possible explantory variables.

Let us look at the way this is estimated in R.

First, the data. It has been dumped into a csv file such as:

secid, year, HireDMMThisYear, HaveDMMThisYear, TobinsQ,lnOpIncome, FracLargestOwner, SalesGrowth, RepurchaseLater, AnnouncedRepurchase, IssueEquityLater, InsiderSells, InsiderF 6001, 2005, false, false, 1.66207, 13.5709, 0.31858, 0.66701, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0.03349, 0.0762903, 0.16996, 0, 0.0891234, -0.168996, , 6006, 2005, false, false, 1.70654, 11.7577, 0.1972, -0.05269, 1, 0, 0, 0.0401, 0.0335354, 0.375494, 0, 0.133597, -0.56822, 0.35186, 0.10352 6026, 2005, true, true, 1.60246, 12.8338, 0.40392, 0.166596, 1, 1, 0, 5, 0.02021, 0.024252, 0.960784, 0, 0.0295194, 0.282457, 0.24782, 0.19274

Reading the data

To work with the data, let us name the various data series

hireDMM <- data\$HireDMMThisYear=="true"
FracYearTrading <- data\$FracTradingDays
RelSpread <- data\$RelSpread
Q <- data\$TobinsQ
SG <- data\$SalesGrowth
AnnRepu <- data\$AnnouncedRepurchase
NewListing<-data\$ListingPeriod

Let us now do the probit regression. The call to the routine is:

A few comments here: probit is a choice under the glm() routine. The routine call looks very much like the usual linear regression (lm()), but estimation is done by Maximum Likelihood.

One feature of the call here: We use only a subset of the data here, we only use stocks that has been traded less than 90% of the year, which is specified by subset=(FracYearTrading<0.90).

The results are then shown as

```
> summary(reg1)
Call:
glm(formula = hireDMM ~ RelSpread + Q + AnnRepu + NewListing,
   family = binomial(link = "probit"), subset = (FracYearTrading <</pre>
       0.9))
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            10
                Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-1.1069 -0.4619 -0.3923 -0.3528
                                 2.4778
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
RelSpread
          -2.55584
                     2.71963 -0.940 0.34733
Q
           0.20851
                     0.06060
                              3.441 0.00058 ***
AnnRepu
           0.05871
                     0.20846
                              0.282 0.77824
NewListing
                     0.17551
                              1.104 0.26963
           0.19375
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 312.29 on 480
                               degrees of freedom
```

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

AIC: 306.12

Residual deviance: 296.12 on 476 degrees of freedom (239 observations deleted due to missingness)

When presenting the data, we usually present the regressions in a more presentable table, as follows:

(2.72) (4.12) 0.21***			Dependent	variable:	
Quidity (Rel.Spread)		Hire DMM			
(2.72) (4.12) 0.21***		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(0.06) (0.06) (0.06) es Growth 0.03 (0.14) purchase Program 0.06 0.09 0.07 0.06 (0.21) (0.25) (0.21) (0.21) ted < 2 years 0.19 0.09 0.25 (0.18) (0.25) (0.17) instant -1.44*** -0.75*** -1.62*** -1.56*** (0.20) (0.26) (0.12) (0.11) servations 481 322 510 510 g Likelihood -148.06 -89.67 -155.34 -156.45 aike Inf. Crit. 306.12 189.34 318.69 318.89 te: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 aat is the R code that generates this? - glm(hireDMM^RelSpread + Q+AnnRepu+NewListing,	Liquidity (Rel.Spread)				
(0.14)	Q	-			
(0.21) (0.25) (0.21) (0.21) ted < 2 years	Sales Growth				
(0.18) (0.25) (0.17) nstant	Repurchase Program				
(0.20) (0.26) (0.12) (0.11) servations 481 322 510 510 g Likelihood -148.06 -89.67 -155.34 -156.45 aike Inf. Crit. 306.12 189.34 318.69 318.89 te: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 nat is the R code that generates this? - glm(hireDMM~RelSpread + Q+AnnRepu+NewListing,	Listed < 2 years				
g Likelihood	Constant				
aike Inf. Crit. 306.12 189.34 318.69 318.89 te:	Observations	481	322	510	510
te: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 nat is the R code that generates this? - glm(hireDMM~RelSpread + Q+AnnRepu+NewListing,	Log Likelihood				
<pre>mat is the R code that generates this? - glm(hireDMM~RelSpread + Q+AnnRepu+NewListing,</pre>	Akaike Int. Crit.	306.12	189.34	318.69	318.89
<pre>subset=(FracYearTrading<0.90), family=binomial(link= <- glm(hireDMM~RelSpread+SG+AnnRepu+NewListing,</pre>	<i>Note:</i> Vhat is the R code tha	t generates t		1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01
<pre>subset=(FracYearTrading<0.90), family=binomial(link="probit")) rlbls <- c("Hire DMM","Hire DMM","Hire DMM","Hire DMM") <- c("Liquidity (Rel.Spread)","Q","Sales Growth",</pre>	subset=(Fra <- glm(hireDMM~Rel subset=(Fra family=bino <- glm(hireDMM~ Q+ subset=(Fra family=bino	cYearTradi: Spread+SG+. cYearTradi: mial(link= AnnRepu+Ne cYearTradi: mial(link=	ng<0.90), fa AnnRepu+Newl ng<0.90), "probit")) wListing, ng<0.90),	amily=binor	nial(link=
<pre>rlbls <- c("Hire DMM","Hire DMM","Hire DMM","Hire DMM") <- c("Liquidity (Rel.Spread)","Q","Sales Growth",</pre>	subset=(Fra	.cYearTradi:	•		
	varlbls <- c("Hire D .s <- c("Liquidity ("Repurchase .1 <- stargazer(reg1 titl cova	MM","Hire Rel.Spread Program"," ,reg2,reg3 e="Ex ante riate.labe	DMM","Hire I)","Q","Salo Listed \$<\$ 2 ,reg4,digits , Dependent	es Growth" 2 years") s=2,	,
	-		-		90 tov" s

References

Johannes Skjeltorp and Bernt Arne Ødegaard. Why do listed firms pay for market making in their own stock? Financial Management, pages 241–261, Summer 2015.